Monbiot.com

Tell people something they know already and they will thank you for it. Tell them something new and they will hate you for it.

Another Species of Denial

Posted January 30, 2007

The Bush administration will do anything except cut the consumption of fuel.

By George Monbiot. Published in the Guardian 30th January 2007.

George Bush proposes to deal with climate change by means of smoke and mirrors. So what's new? Only that it is no longer just a metaphor. After six years of obfuscation and denial, the US government now insists that we find ways to block some of the sunlight reaching the earth. This means launching either mirrors or clouds of small particles into the atmosphere.

The demand appears in a recent US memo to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. It describes "modifying solar radiance" as "important insurance" against the threat of climate change(1). A more accurate description might be important insurance against the need to cut emissions.

Every scheme that could give us a chance of preventing runaway climate change should be considered on its merits. But the proposals for building a global parasol don't have very many. A group of nuclear weapons scientists at the Lawrence Livermore laboratory in California, apparently bored of experimenting with only one kind of mass death, have proposed launching into the atmosphere a million tonnes of tiny aluminium balloons, filled with hydrogen, every year. One unfortunate side-effect would be to eliminate the ozone layer(2).

Another proposal, developed by a scientist at the National Center for Atmospheric Research in Boulder, Colorado, suggests spraying billions of tonnes of seawater into the air. Regrettably the production of small salt particles, while generating obscuring mists, could also cause droughts in the countries downwind(3). Another scheme would inject sulphate particles into the stratosphere(4). It is perhaps less dangerous than the others, but still carries a risk of causing changes in rainfall patterns. As for flipping a giant mirror into orbit, the necessary technologies are probably a century away. All these fixes appear to be more expensive than cutting the amount of energy we consume. None of them reduces the concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, which threatens to acidify the oceans, with grave consequences for the food chain(5).

The demand that money and research be diverted into these quixotic solutions is another indication that Bush's avowed conversion to the cause of cutting emissions is illusory. He is simply drumming up some new business for his chums. In his State of the Union address last week, he spoke of "the serious challenge of global climate change" and announced that he was raising the government's mandatory target for alternative transport fuels fivefold(6). This is wonderful news for the grain barons of the red states, who will grow the maize and rapeseed that will be turned into biofuel. It's a catastrophe for everyone else.

An analysis published last year by the Sarasin Bank found that until a new generation of vegetable fuels, made from straw or wood, is developed "the present limit for the environmentally and socially responsible use of biofuels [is] roughly 5% of current petrol and diesel consumption in the EU and US."(7) Bush now proposes to raise the proportion to 24% by 2017(8). Already, though the rich world has replaced just a fraction of one per cent of its transport fuels, the UN's Food and Agriculture Organisation reports that using crops to feed cars has raised world food prices, with serious consequences for the poor(9). Biofuels fall into the same category as atmospheric smoke and mirrors - a means of avoiding difficult decisions.

But at least, or so we are told, the argument over whether or not manmade climate change is happening is now over. On Friday the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change publishes the first instalment of its vast report, which collates the findings of the world's climate scientists. Though conservative in its assumptions, it shows that if you persist in believing that there is no cause for concern you must have buried your head till only your toes are showing. If even George Bush now grudgingly acknowledges that there's a problem, surely we've seen the last of the cranks and charalatans who had managed to grab so much attention with their claims that global warming wasn't happening?

Some chance. A company called WAG TV is currently completing a 90-minute documentary for Channel 4 called "The Great Global Warming Swindle". Manmade climate change, the channel tells us, is "a lie ... the biggest scam of modern times. The truth is that Global Warming is a multi-billion dollar worldwide industry: created by fanatically anti-industrial environmentalists; supported by scientists peddling scare stories to chase funding; and propped up by complicit politicians and the media. ... The fact is that CO2 has no proven link to global temperatures ... solar activity is far more likely to be the culprit."(10)

So it's the same old conspiracy theory that we've been hearing from the denial industry for the past ten years, and it carries as much scientific weight as the contention that the Twin Towers were brought down by missiles. The programme's thesis revolves around the deniers' favourite canard: that the "hockey-stick graph" showing rising global temperatures is based on a statistical mistake made in a paper by the scientists Michael Mann, Raymond Bradley and Malcolm Hughes(11). What it will not be showing is that their results have now been repeated several times by other scientists using different statistical methods(12); that the paper claiming to have exposed the mistake has been comprehensively debunked(13) and that the lines of evidence used by Mann, Bradley and Hughes are just a few among hundreds demonstrating that 20th century temperatures were anomalous.

The decision to commission this programme seems even odder when you discover who is making it. In 1997, the director, Martin Durkin, produced a very similar series for Channel 4 called "Against Nature", which also maintained that global warming was a scam dreamt up by environmentalists. It was riddled with hilarious scientific howlers. More damagingly, the only way in which Durkin could sustain his thesis was to deceive the people he interviewed and to edit their answers to change their meaning. Following complaints by his interviewees, the Independent Television Commission found that "the views of the four complainants, as made clear to the interviewer, had been distorted by selective editing" and that they had been "misled as to the content and purpose of the programmes when they agreed to take part." (14) Channel 4 was obliged to broadcast one of the most humiliating primetime apologies it has ever made. Are institutional memories really so short?

So now the whole weary business of pointing out that the evidence against manmade climate change is sparse and unable to withstand critical scrutiny while the evidence in favour is overwhelming and repeatedly confirmed must begin all over again. How often do scientists have to remind the media that a handful of cherry-picked studies does not amount to the refutation of an entire discipline?

But with George Bush's defection, the band of quacks making these claims is diminishing fast. Now the oil and coal companies which support such people have changed their target. Instead of trying to persuade us that manmade global warming is a myth, they are seeking to divert us into doing everything except the one thing that has to happen - reducing our consumption of fuel. It is another species of denial.

George Bush's purpose - to insulate these companies from the need to cut production - is unchanged. He has simply found a new way of framing the argument.

www.monbiot.com

References:

- 1. US Government, 14 September 2006. U.S. Government Review of the Second Order Draft of WGIII Contribution "Climate Change 2007: Mitigation of Climate Change". The leaked memo can be read at: http://image.guardian.co.uk/sysfiles/Guardian/documents/2007/01/26/USGReview_pp6_7.pdf
- 2. No author, 27th March 2004. A mirror to cool the world. New Scientist.
- 3. Michael Behar, June 2005. How Earth-Scale Engineering Can Save the Planet. Popular Science.

http://www.popsci.com/popsci/aviationspace/3afd8ca927d05010vgnvcm1000004eecbccdrcrd/4.html

- 4. PJ Crutzen, August 2006. Albedo Enhancement By Stratospheric Sulfur Injections: A Contribution To Resolve A Policy Dilemma? Climatic Change. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-006-9101-y.
- 5. The Royal Society, June 2005. Ocean acidification due to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide. Policy document 12/05. http://www.scar.org/articles/Ocean_Acidification(1).pdf
- 6. George W. Bush. 23rd January 2007. State of the Union Address. http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2007/01/20070123-2.html
- 7. Bank Sarasin, July 2006. Sustainability Report: Biofuels transporting us to a fossil-free future?, page 14.
- 8. The US Energy Information Administration gives US gasoline consumption for October 2006 (the latest available date) at 287,857,000 barrels. If this month is typical, annual consumption amounts to 3.45 billion barrels, or 145 billion gallons. http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/pet/pet_cons_psup_dc_nus_mbbl_m.htm

In the state of the union address, Bush proposed a mandatory annual target of 35 billion gallons.

- 9. Food and Agriculture Organisation, December 2006. Food Outlook 2. http://www.fao.org/docrep/009/j8126e/j8126e01a.htm
- 10. Channel 4, viewed 29th January 2007. The Great Global Warming Swindle. http://www.channel4sales.com/programming/m4/monthly

- 11. Email from Martin Durkin, WAG TV, to Michael Mann, January 2007.
- 12. See the references given here: http://www.realclimate.org/index.php?p=7
- 13. See http://www.realclimate.org/index.php/archives/2005/05/new-analysis-reproduces-graph-of-late-20th-century-temperature-rise/;

http://www.realclimate.org/index.php/archives/2005/10/hockey-sticks-round-27/;

M.E., Osborn, T.J., Bradley, R.S., Briffa, K.R., Hughes, M.K., Jones,

P.D., Proxy-based Northern Hemisphere Surface Temperature

Reconstructions: Sensitivity to Methodology, Predictor Network, Target

Season and Target Domain, Journal of Climate, 18, 2308-2329, 2005.;

http://www.realclimate.org/images/WA_RC_Figure1.jpg;

and Wahl and Ammann (in press).

14. Independent Television Commission, 1st April 1998. Channel Four to Apologise to Four Interviewees in "Against Nature" Series. Press Release.



Stay Updated

Get new articles by email:

Subscribe

Categories

- advertising
- Books
- climate change
- corporate power
- culture
- economic justice
- education & childhood
- employment
- environment
- farming
- food
- foreign affairs
- General
- genetic engineering
- globalisation
- <u>health</u>
- health & safety
- hunting & the countryside
- interviews and debates

- landrights & planning
- law & order
- media
- nuclear
- oil
- politics
- privatisation
- protest
- racism
- religion
- science
- <u>supermarkets</u>
- tourism
- <u>transport</u>
- war afghanistan
- war general
- war iraq

Links

- About George Monbiot
- Careers Advice
- Contact
- Discuss these articles
- Greenwash Exposed
- Talks
- What Can I Do?
- Articles by RSS

Copyright © 2006 Monbiot.com | site by Tom Dyson