Parliament calls for EU ban on cloning for food
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The European Parliament reiterated its opposition to meat and milk produced from cloned animals yesterday (7 July), piling pressure on the European Commission to ban food produced in this way.

Voting on Wednesday, the Parliament reaffirmed its first-reading position to exclude food derived from cloned animals or their offspring from a draft EU regulation on novel foods.

The Commission and EU member states would like to regulate the sale of "novel foods" – defined as food made with new production processes or which was not widely consumed before 1997.

But MEPs have adopted a more restrictive approach, asking the Commission to table a separate legislative proposal to expressly ban food from cloned animals and their descendants.

If EU countries reject the Parliament's position, a conciliation procedure will be launched to find a compromise.

In the meantime, the Parliament is asking for a moratorium on all sales of food derived from cloning, a position it has maintained since it first passed a resolution on the matter in 2008.

The Commission's initial proposal for a novel foods regulation would have regulated food derived from cloned animals but not their traditionally-bred offspring. EU member states, meanwhile, would like to see both addressed under the new rules.

'No to nanofoods' until risks assessed

On nanofoods, the Parliament was equally assertive. While it agreed that nano-sized ingredients in food should be subject to EU regulations, it called for a moratorium on their use until specific risk assessments have proven that they are safe.

In addition, the House wants clear labelling to warn consumers that the food they are going to eat contains nano-ingredients.

Trade problems in sight?

The safety of food derived from cloning is currently not questioned by the EU or US authorities. But the US Food and Drug Administration has encouraged American farmers to voluntarily keep their cloned animals off the market during an unspecified transition period to allow the US Department of Agriculture to work with interested industry stakeholders to "ensure a smooth and seamless transition into the marketplace for these products".

The European Parliament's call for complete ban on food from clones, if followed by the 27 member states, could thus lead to yet another bitter transatlantic food trade dispute sometime in the future.

Examples of major EU-US trade disputes in the food and agriculture sector include those concerning genetically modified organisms, hormone beef and chlorinated chicken.

BACKGROUND

The EU’s current Novel Foods Regulation dates back to May 1997. It does not cover foods developed since then that use nanotechnology, for example, nor does it cover foods that are traditionally consumed outside the EU.

Following a stakeholder consultation on the regulation in 2002, the European Commission adopted a legislative proposal to amend the current Novel Foods Regulation in January 2008 (EurActiv 15/01/08).

The aim, according to the EU executive, is to allow “safe and innovative foods to reach the EU market faster” and to encourage the development of “new types of foods and food production techniques”.

The regulation would create a centralised authorisation system to simplify and speed up the process of authorisation for novel foods. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) would be responsible for carrying out the risk assessment for a novel food application and, if judged safe, the Commission would then propose its authorisation.

Only novel foods that are included on the Community list after assessment by the EFSA may be placed on the market.

MORE ON THIS TOPIC

- News: MEPs restate opposition to food derived from cloned animals
- News: EU experts say 'yes, but' to animal cloning for food
- News: EU ethics group advises against cloning animals for food
Dutch MEP kartika liotard (Europe united left-nordic green left), who steered the novel foods regulation through parliament, said “a clear majority in the European parliament supports ethical objections to the industrial production of cloned meat for food”.

“Cloned animals suffer disproportionately highly from illnesses, malformations and premature death. MEPs have been calling for proper regulation for years: it’s high time the Commission listened to the European parliament and citizens on this issue.”

Citing the results of a 2008 Eurobarometer, which showed that a majority of EU citizens are not willing to accept animal cloning for food production, liotard said that “the Commission can no longer ignore the will of the majority of citizens”.

“We will continue to press the Commission to produce a separate legislative proposal to prohibit food from clones and their offspring in order to protect consumers and uphold environmental and animal health standards,” she added.

French MEP Corinne Lepage (Alliance of liberals and democrats for Europe) added that “although no safety concerns have been identified so far with meat produced from cloned animals, this technique raises serious issues about animal welfare and reduction of biodiversity, as well as ethical concerns”.

Scottish Conservative MEP Struan Stevenson welcomed the vote in favour of a ban on cloning animals for food, which it believes will put “immense pressure on the European Commission to come forward with clear legislation to enforce such a ban and ensure that no products from cloned animals or their offspring are put on the European market”.

In a press statement he noted that current EU rules state that only third-generation or later offspring from cloned animals can be sold for food, but that “no such rules apply” in countries like America, Canada, Argentina and Brazil, which are major exporters of beef and semen to the EU and where “cloning is widespread and unregulated”.

“I have no wish to trigger a trade war with any countries outside the EU, but they have to realise that their exports must comply with the same standards we apply to our own home-grown products. There must be a level playing field. If there is no scientific test available to test for cloned meat then all imports should be clearly labelled ‘This meat may have come from a cloned animal’. This would enable EU consumers to make their own choice on what to buy,” Stevenson added.

The Eurogroup for animals, which represents European animal welfare organisations, welcomed the vote in favour of a ban on cloning animals for food, which it believes will put “immense pressure on the European Commission to come forward with clear legislation to enforce such a ban and ensure that no products from cloned animals or their offspring are put on the European market”.

In addition to causing unnecessary animal suffering and treating farm animals as mere “commodities rather than sentient beings,” the group argues that widespread use of cloning would also “greatly reduce genetic diversity within livestock populations, increasing the chances of whole herds being wiped out by disease to which they would all be equally susceptible”.

In addition, cloning of farm animals would undermine EU subsidies to farmers who conserve traditional breeds of livestock and go against the EU’s rural development objective of preserving the genetic diversity of farm animals, it said.

The European environmental bureau (eeb) welcomed the parliaments backing for “strong safety and labelling measures for food containing nanomaterials,” as well as the moratorium.
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